

Appln No. 10/656,086
Am dt date May 8, 2006
Reply to Office action of February 6, 2006

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration and reexamination of the above identified patent application is hereby requested in view of the following remarks.

Claims 1 - 34 are pending in this application with claims 8 and 14-30 having been withdrawn as directed to non-elected invention and species.

Claims 1-7, 9-13, 31, 33 and 34 have been rejected as anticipated under Section 102 based on Kim et al. Publication US 2003/0190529 ("Kim '03"). Claims 1-7, 9-13 and 31 have been rejected as anticipated under Section 102 based on Kim et al. Publication US 2004/0029018 ("Kim '04"). Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9-13 and 31-34 have been rejected under Section 103 as obvious over Jung et al. Publication 2003/0157411 ("Jung") in view of Kim et al. Publication US 2001/0009744 ("Kim '01").

The current Application claims priority to Korean Patent Application No. 2002-0053879 filed on September 6, 2002 in the Korean Intellectual Property Office while Kim '03 has a filing date of March 21, 2003 and Kim '04 has a filing date of August 11, 2003. Since the Korean priority date for the present application is earlier than either of the Section 102 references, neither Kim '03 nor Kim '04 is a valid reference against the present application. Similarly, Jung has a filing date of November 5, 2002 which is also after the Korean priority date for the present application, and is not a valid reference for combination with Kim '01.

Enclosed with this response is a verified translation of the Korean Patent Application to which priority has been claimed in the present application. Applicant requests reconsideration of the examiner's rejection of the pending claims, and allowance of those claims. However, if there are any remaining issues, applicant asks the examiner to contact applicant's counsel at the number below.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP

By 

David A. Plumley
Reg. No. 37,208
626/795-9900